arXiv:1511.09399v1 [math.NT] 30 Nov 2015

CONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTIONS ARISING FROM THE THREE GAP THEOREM

GEREMÍAS POLANCO, DANIEL SCHULTZ, AND ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU

Abstract. The well known Three Gap Theorem states that there are at most three gap sizes in the sequence of fractional parts $\{\alpha n\}_{n<N}$. It is known that if one averages over α, the distribution becomes continuous. We present an alternative approach, which establishes this averaged result and also provides good bounds for the error terms.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B57, 11B99, 11Kxx.
Keywords and phrases: Three Gap Theorem, Farey Fractions, Continuous Distribution, Uniform Distribution.

1. Introduction

Let α be a real number and consider the fractional parts $\{n\alpha\}_{0\leq n<Q}$ arranged in increasing order and placed on the interval $[0,1]$ with 0 and 1 identified so that Q gaps appear. If we denote this sequence by $S_Q(\alpha)$ and consider the gaps between consecutive elements, then it is well known that there are at most three gap sizes in $S_Q(\alpha)$. For more on this topic the reader is referred to van Ravenstein [25], Sós [30] and Świerczkowski [31] (see also [7], [12] for some higher dimensional aspects of this phenomenon). The sequence $\{n^d\alpha\}$, for $d\geq 1$, has been extensively studied. If $d>1$, the main reference is the work of Rudnick and Sarnak [26], where it is proved that for almost all α the pair correlation of members of the sequence is Poissonian (see also [6]). Various other works have been done studying fractional parts of polynomials. A non-exhaustive list of references includes Arhipov, Karacuba, and Čubarikov[2], Baker and Harman [3], de Velasco [8], Karacuba [17], Kovalevskaja [18], Moshchevitin [22], Schmidt [29], and Wooley [32]. The distribution of gaps and other aspects of fractional parts has also been investigated for specific types of numbers by Misevičius and Vakrinienė [21], Zaimi [33], Dubickas [9], [10], Pillishshammer [24], and others. Returning to the sequence $\{n\alpha\}$, it is known that if one averages over α the distribution becomes continuous. For the relevant literature the



FIGURE 1. $g_1^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{10}}(\lambda; 1000)$

reader is referred to Bleher [4], Mazel and Sinai [20], and Greenman [11]. In particular, the density of the limiting distribution is computed in [11].

In the present paper we offer an alternative approach to proving such results, which offers very satisfactory control over the error terms. To proceed, we consider short averages of the nearest neighbor distribution of elements in $S_Q(\alpha)$. We denote the n^{th} element of $S_Q(\alpha)$ by $\{\sigma_n\alpha\}$ and consider the function:

$$g_1^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda; Q) = \frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\beta}^{\beta+\eta} \frac{\#\{0 \leq n < Q : \{\sigma_{n+1}\alpha\} - \{\sigma_n\alpha\} \geq \frac{\lambda}{Q}\}}{Q} d\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

When Q is taken to be 1000, $\alpha = 1/3$, and $\eta = 1/10$, the resulting graph is shown in Figure 1. Moreover, the graphs for different values of β and η appear to be identical. Heuristically speaking, it is reasonable to think that the subtleties lie on the nature of the sequence and how the gaps are related to its elements. It is natural to try and connect the elements of the sequence to the three possible gaps. We establish such a connection using classical properties of Farey fractions, that can be found in Hall [13], Hardy and Wright [16], and LeVeque [19]. We also use other further developed properties connecting Farey fractions with Kloosterman sums which have been applied to some useful asymptotics in [1], Hall [14], and in Hall and Tenenbaum [15]. With these tools in hand we find an explicit formula for

the g_1 distribution function and show that this function is still approached as long as the size of the interval goes to zero no faster than $Q^{-1/2+\epsilon}$. More precisely we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *As $Q \to \infty$, the nearest neighbor distribution in* (1.1) *is independent of β and η, and we have*

$$g_1(\lambda) := \lim_{Q\to\infty} g_1^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda; Q)$$
$$= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \lambda, & 0 < \lambda < 1 \\ \log^2(2) - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} - 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{2}{\lambda}\right)\log\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right) + \\ \frac{3\lambda}{2}\log\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{4}{\lambda}\right)\log(\lambda) + 4\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \\ 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right), & 1 < \lambda < 2 \\ -1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{2}{\lambda}\right)\log\left(\frac{\lambda-2}{\lambda-1}\right) + \frac{3\lambda}{2}\log\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right) + \\ 4\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right), & 2 < \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where the Dilogarithm is defined for $|z| \le 1$ by

$$\mathrm{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| g_1^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda; Q) - g_1(\lambda) \right| \ll_\epsilon (1+\lambda)\frac{Q^{\epsilon-1/2}}{\eta}.$$

More generally, we consider the joint distribution $g_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, which describes the average distribution of k-tuples of consecutive gaps over the interval $[\beta, \beta+\eta]$, defined as

$$g_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k) = \lim_{Q\to\infty} g_k^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k; Q), \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$g_k^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k; Q) = \frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\beta}^{\beta+\eta} \frac{\#\{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \forall_{i\le k}\ \gamma_i \ge \frac{\lambda_i}{Q}\}}{Q} d\alpha,$$

and $G_{Q,k}(\alpha)$ denotes the list of k-sequences of consecutive gaps in $S_Q(\alpha)$ (see the beginning of next section for an illustration of $G_{Q,K}(\alpha)$ for $K = 1, 2, 3$).

When Q is taken to be 1000 the resulting graph is shown in Figure 2. It can be proved that the functions $g_k(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ exist and are independent of the interval $[\beta, \beta+\eta]$ on which the average is taken. Towards the end of



FIGURE 2. $g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

this paper we concentrate in this multidimensional case and find an explicit formula for $g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. We will deduce that the joint distribution $g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is piecewise linear for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$, that is,

$$g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2}\,\mathrm{Max}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \frac{3}{\pi^2}\,\mathrm{Min}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1. \tag{1.3}$$

From a probabilistic point of view, our questions of interest deal with the set $S_Q(\alpha)$, whose average gap size is Q^{-1}, for large Q. In the one dimensional case and a given λ_1, what is the probability that a randomly selected gap will be greater than λ_1 times the average gap? For the the k dimensional case (say $k = 2$) and a given λ_1 and λ_2, what is the probability that a gap and its neighbor to the right are both greater than the average times λ_1 and λ_2 respectively? Several papers have pointed out this interpretation of the problems of our interest (see for example [27], [28], [34], and the references there in). We answer the two questions posed above by giving the cumulative distribution function for the 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional case. One would expect that in the k-dimensional case the events are not independent. This is made evident by the fact that $g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is not the product of $g(\lambda_1)$ and $g(\lambda_2)$ (by Theorem 1.1 and (1.3)). The proof of these theorems have three key stages which will determine the structure of the present paper. The next three sections of this paper are devoted to the one dimensional case $g(\lambda_1)$. We first establish a connection of the elements of the sequence of fractional parts with Farey fractions in Section 2. The third



FIGURE 3. $S_{10}(\sqrt{2})$

section of the paper is devoted to some lemmas that allow us to write a sum over Farey fractions in a given region as an integral that is easier to handle. The main ingredients of these lemmas are Kloosterman sums. In the third section we write $g(\lambda_1)$ as a suitable sum for which we can apply the lemmas from the third section. After some other miscellaneous work we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. The last two sections of this paper are devoted to the joint distribution from k-dimensional spacing between consecutive elements of the sequence of fractional parts, with special attention to $k = 2$.

2. Key Connections to Farey Fractions

In order to understand the limit given in definition (1.1) we must first understand the cardinality of the set in the integrand. Specifically, given that we have three possible gaps sizes A, B and C, we need to have more information on the number of gaps of each size for any given Q, as well as a way to handle the contribution of each gap. This is accomplished in Lemma 2.1, where we identify the two smaller gaps A and B with the closest Farey fractions on either side of α. This will allow us to rewrite the integral from Theorem 1.1 in a form more useful for computations.

Let us illustrate the three gaps theorem in the case when $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ and $Q = 10$. The points $S_{10}(\sqrt{2})$ along with the three gaps labeled A, B, and C are shown in Figure 3. Let $G_{Q,k}(\alpha)$ denotes the list of k-sequences of consecutive gaps in $S_Q(\alpha)$. When $k > 1$ we allow the sequence to wrap around 0 so that exactly Q tuples of gaps are considered. For example,

$$G_{10,1}(\sqrt{2}) = \{A, C, A, B, A, C, A, B, A, B\},$$
$$G_{10,2}(\sqrt{2}) = \{AC, CA, AB, BA, AC, CA, AB, BA, AB, BA\},$$
$$G_{10,3}(\sqrt{2}) = \{ACA, CAB, ABA, BAC, ACA, CAB, ABA, BAB, ABA, BAC\}.$$

Also, in this case the permutation σ satisfies

$$\{\sigma_n\}_{n=0}^{9} = \{0, 5, 3, 8, 1, 6, 4, 9, 2, 7\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For an irrational α, the lengths of three gaps (A,B, and C) generated by the sequence $\{n\alpha\}_{0\le n<Q}$ may be computed as follows: Let us arrange the Farey fractions $\{0 \le \frac{a}{q} \le 1 | (a, q) = 1,\ q < Q\}$ in order on the*

interval $[0,1]$ *and choose consecutive fractions* $\frac{a_1}{q_1}$ *and* $\frac{a_2}{q_2}$ *so that*

$$\frac{a_1}{q_1} < \alpha < \frac{a_2}{q_2}.$$

Then, the three gaps that can appear have lengths

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_1\alpha - a_1 = \{q_1\alpha\}, \\ B &= a_2 - q_2\alpha = 1 - \{q_2\alpha\}, \\ C &= A + B, \end{aligned}$$

and the function σ*, which is a permutation of the set* $\{0, 1, \ldots, Q-1\}$ *so that the sequence*

$$\{\sigma_0\alpha\}, \quad \{\sigma_1\alpha\}, \quad \ldots, \quad \{\sigma_{Q-1}\alpha\}$$

is in increasing order, satisfies:

$$\sigma_0 = 0,$$

$$\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_i = \begin{cases} q_1, & \text{if } \sigma_i \in [0, Q-q_1) \quad (A \text{ Gap}) \\ q_1 - q_2, & \text{if } \sigma_i \in [Q-q_1, q_2) \quad (C \text{ Gap}) \\ -q_2, & \text{if } \sigma_i \in [q_2, Q) \quad (B \text{ Gap}) \end{cases}.$$

Remark 2.2. *This Lemma gives a simple formula for the number of gaps of each size. The number of* A *gaps of each size is number of integers in the intervals on the right hand side of the recurrence relation for* σ_i*. Thus, the numbers of* A *gaps,* B *gaps and* C *gaps are, respectively,*

$$Q - q_1, \quad Q - q_2, \quad q_1 + q_2 - Q.$$

This is in agreement with the fact that the total number of gaps is Q *and the total length of these gaps are* 1 *since*

$$\begin{aligned} (Q-q_1) + (Q-q_2) + (q_1+q_2-Q) &= Q, \\ (Q-q_1)A + (Q-q_2)B + (q_1+q_2-Q)C &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This last equality is equivalent to the determinant property of Farey fractions:

$$a_2q_1 - a_1q_2 = 1.$$

Indeed, this property may be viewed as a corollary of Lemma 2.1

Remark 2.3. *In* [23] *O'Bryant developed a detailed account of permutations that order fractional parts. Here we have used an independent and simple idea to describe this permutations* σ_i*.*

Proof. Let us define a shifting operation that will associate each gap in the sequence with the two gaps that have 0 (or 1) as an end point or with the sum of these two gaps. Let the A gap be the first gap, that is, the one with 0 as a left end point, and let the B gap be the last gap, that is, the gap with 1 as a right end point. Suppose that when the points $\{n\alpha\}$ for $0 \leq n < Q$ are arranged in order, $\{n_1 x\}$ and $\{n_2 x\}$ appear consecutively so that the interval $[\{n_1 x\}, \{n_2 x\}]$ is a gap. If either n_1 or n_2 are 0 then the gap is already the A gap or the B gap. If neither n_1 nor n_2 is 0, the gap $[\{n_1 x\}, \{n_2 x\}]$ has the same length as the interval $[\{(n_1-1)\alpha\}, \{(n_2-1)\alpha\}]$ (if $\{(n_1-1)\alpha\} > \{(n_2-1)\alpha\}$, we consider this interval as wrapping around through 0). This interval is also a gap except in exactly one case: the case when the point $\{Q\alpha\}$ lies in the gap $[\{n_1 x\}, \{n_2 x\}]$. In this case the gap $[\{n_1 x\}, \{n_2 x\}]$ is associated with the two smaller gaps $[\{(n_1-1)\alpha\}, \{(Q-1)\alpha\}]$ and $[\{(Q-1)\alpha\}, \{(n_2-1)\alpha\}]$. This proves that when an arbitrary starting gap is chosen, the shifting process will divide the starting gap into two smaller gaps at most once and that these smaller gaps will have the same size as the A gap or the B gap since this is where the shifting process terminates. We now know that the size of the A gap is

$$A = \min_{0<n<Q} \{n\alpha\},$$

and the size of the B gap is

$$B = 1 - \max_{0<n<Q} \{n\alpha\}.$$

We need to show that the minimum and maximum are attained at $n = q_1$ and $n = q_2$ respectively, where q_1 and q_2 are as in the statement of the lemma. Suppose there is another $q < Q$ with $\{q\alpha\} < \{q_1\alpha\}$ and write $\{q\alpha\} = q\alpha - a$. Then

$$0 < q\alpha - a < q_1\alpha - a_1. \tag{2.1}$$

Since $\frac{a_1}{q_1}$ is the greatest Farey fraction less than α, we have

$$\frac{a}{q} < \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \alpha.$$

In the case $q_1 > q$, we have the trivial inequality

$$\frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_1 - a}{q_1 - q},$$

from which deduce that, since $\frac{a_1}{q_1}$ is the greatest Farey fraction less than α,

$$\alpha < \frac{a_1 - a}{q_1 - q},$$

which contradicts (2.1). In the case $q_1 < q$, we have the similar inequality

$$\frac{a - a_1}{q - q_1} < \frac{a_1}{q_1},$$

from which we deduce that

$$\frac{a - a_1}{q - q_1} < \alpha,$$

which also contradicts (2.1). This proves that the minimum is attained when $n = q_1$. The fact that the maximum is attained at $n = q_2$ follows by symmetry since

$$1 - \max_{0<n<Q} \{n\alpha\} = \min_{0<n<Q} \{n(1-\alpha)\}.$$

Now suppose that $\{\sigma_i \alpha\}$ is a point of $S_Q(\alpha)$. If $\sigma_i \in [0, Q - q_1)$, then the A gap, which is the interval

$$[0, \{q_1\alpha\}],$$

can be shifted to the interval

$$[\{\sigma_i\alpha\}, \{(\sigma_i + q_1)\alpha\}],$$

so that $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i + q_1$ in this case. In the case $\sigma_i \in [q_2, Q)$, the gap between σ_i and σ_{i+1} is a B gap, so $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i - q_2$ in this case. Finally, when $\sigma_i \in [Q - q_1, q_2)$, the gap is a C gap and so $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i + q_1 - q_2$. □

3. A Lemma Via Kloosterman Sums

We will transform our integral into a sum of integrals over Farey arcs in a certain region. The resulting sum depends only on the denominators of the Farey fractions. This fact is crucial and explains the heuristic reason why the limit approaches the same distribution function in any interval. We present a general result for any short interval by borrowing Lemma 8 from [5]. We have normalized the function and region by a factor of Q.

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$\sum_{\Omega} f := \sum_{\substack{(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}) \in \Omega \\ \beta \le \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \le \beta + \eta}} f.$$

Then if Ω is a convex subregion of $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ with rectifiable boundary, and f is a C^1 function on Ω, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)\frac{1}{Q^2}-\frac{6}{\pi^2}\iint_{\Omega} f(x,y)dxdy\right|$$
$$\ll_\epsilon \left(\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|_\infty+\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right\|_\infty\right)\frac{\mathrm{Area}(\Omega)\log Q}{\eta Q}+\|f\|_\infty\frac{(1+\mathrm{Len}(\partial\Omega))\log Q}{\eta Q}+$$
$$\frac{m_f\|f\|_\infty}{\eta Q^{1/2-\epsilon}},$$

where m_f is an upper bound for the number of intervals of monotonicity of each of the maps $y\to f(x,y)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will handle the contribution of the three gaps of each type A, B, and C separately by partitioning the interval $[\beta,\beta+\eta]$ into Farey arcs with denominators strictly less than Q. Lemma 3.1 will then enable us to calculate the limit as $Q\to\infty$. We will deal with the error terms when we prove a general result for g_k in the next section. In the interval $[\frac{a_1}{q_1},\frac{a_2}{q_2}]$, the A gaps contribute the amount

$$\int_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}}\frac{1}{Q}\begin{cases} Q-q_1, & q_1\alpha-a_1\geq\lambda/Q\\ 0, & q_1\alpha-a_1<\lambda/Q\end{cases}d\alpha,$$

since the A gaps are $Q-q_1$ in number by Lemma 2.1. If a new integration variable t defined by

$$\alpha=\frac{a_1}{q_1}+\frac{t}{q_1q_2} \tag{4.1}$$

is introduced, the integral becomes

$$\int_0^1\frac{dt}{Qq_1q_2}\begin{cases} Q-q_1, & t\geq\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\\ 0, & t<\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\end{cases}=\begin{cases}\frac{Q-q_1}{Qq_1q_2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\right), & 0\leq\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\leq 1\\ 0, & 1<\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\end{cases}.$$

To compute the total contribution of the A gaps to the function $g_1(\lambda)$ we need to sum this expression over consecutive pairs of Farey fractions in the interval $[\beta,\beta+\eta]$ as

$$\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{\beta\leq\frac{a_1}{q_1}<\frac{a_2}{q_2}\leq\beta+\eta}\begin{cases}\frac{Q-q_1}{Qq_1q_2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda q_2}{Q}\right), & 0\leq\frac{q_2}{Q}\leq\frac{1}{\lambda}\\ 0, & \frac{1}{\lambda}<\frac{q_2}{Q}\end{cases}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, this sum converges to the integrals

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2}\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \int_{1-y}^1 \frac{(1-x)(1-\lambda y)}{xy} dxdy, & 0 \le \lambda \le 1 \\ \int_0^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_{1-y}^1 \frac{(1-x)(1-\lambda y)}{xy} dxdy, & 1 < \lambda \end{cases},$$

which further equals

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2}\begin{cases} -1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{6}, & 0 \le \lambda \le 1 \\ -1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} + (1-\lambda)\log\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), & 1 < \lambda \end{cases}, \tag{4.2}$$

and this is the contribution of the A gaps to the function $g_1(\lambda)$. The B gaps contribute the amount

$$\int_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}} \frac{1}{Q}\begin{cases} Q - q_2, & a_2 - q_2\alpha \ge \lambda/Q \\ 0, & a_2 - q_2\alpha < \lambda/Q \end{cases} d\alpha$$

in the interval $[\frac{a_1}{q_1}, \frac{a_2}{q_2}]$ since they are $Q - q_2$ in number. The calculations to complete the total contribution of the B gaps are similar to those of the A gaps, and the total contribution is found to be the same as (4.2). By Lemma 2.1, the C gaps are $q_1 + q_2 - Q$ in number, and thus contribute the amount

$$\int_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}} \frac{1}{Q}\begin{cases} q_1 + q_2 - Q, & (q_1\alpha - a_1) + (a_2 - q_2\alpha) \ge \lambda/Q \\ 0, & (q_1\alpha - a_1) + (a_2 - q_2\alpha) < \lambda/Q \end{cases} d\alpha$$

in the interval $[\frac{a_1}{q_1}, \frac{a_2}{q_2}]$. With the substitution (4.1), this integral becomes

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{Nq_1q_2}\begin{cases} q_1 + q_2 - N, & \frac{1-t}{q_1} + \frac{t}{q_2} \ge \frac{\lambda}{N} \\ 0, & \frac{1-t}{q_1} + \frac{t}{q_2} \ge \frac{\lambda}{N} \end{cases}$$

where the numerators a_1 and a_2 of the Farey fractions have conveniently canceled out. If $q_2 < q_1$, this last integral has the evaluation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}, & \frac{q_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}\frac{q_1(N-\lambda q_2)}{N(q_1-q_2)}, & \frac{q_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_1}{N} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_2}{N} \end{cases},$$

while if $q_1 < q_2$, the substitution $t \to 1-t$ shows that the integral has the same evaluation with q_1 and q_2 switched:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}, & \frac{q_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}\frac{q_2(N-\lambda q_1)}{N(q_2-q_1)}, & \frac{q_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_2}{N} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_1}{N} \end{cases} .$$

Thus, the total contribution of the C gaps in the interval $[a,b]$ is

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{\substack{\beta \le \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \le \beta+\eta \\ q_2 < q_1}} \begin{cases} \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}, & \frac{q_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}\frac{q_1(N-\lambda q_2)}{N(q_1-q_2)}, & \frac{q_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_1}{N} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_2}{N} \end{cases}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{\substack{\beta \le \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \le \beta+\eta \\ q_1 < q_2}} \begin{cases} \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}, & \frac{q_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \frac{q_1+q_2-N}{Nq_1q_2}\frac{q_2(N-\lambda q_1)}{N(q_2-q_1)}, & \frac{q_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_2}{N} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{q_1}{N} \end{cases} .$$

By Lemma 3.1, the first of these sums approaches the integrals

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} \begin{cases} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{1-x}^{x} \frac{x+y-1}{xy} dy dx, & 0 \le \lambda \le 1 \\ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_{1-x}^{x} \frac{x+y-1}{xy} dy dx + \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{1} \int_{1-x}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{x+y-1}{y}\frac{1-\lambda y}{x-y} dy dx, & 1 < \lambda < 2 \\ \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_{1-y}^{1} \frac{x+y-1}{y}\frac{1-\lambda y}{x-y} dx dy, & 2 \le \lambda \end{cases} ,$$

and the second sum approaches the same set of integrals after the change of variable $x \leftrightarrow y$ is made. Now, these integrals can also be evaluated with the Dilogarithm, and the result is the expression

(4.3)

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12}, & 0 \le \lambda \le 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{\log^2(2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{4}\log\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}-1\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)\log(\lambda) + \mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right), & 1 < \lambda < 2 \\ \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{\log^2(2)}{2} + \frac{\log(2)}{2}, & \lambda = 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{4}\log\left(1-\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) - \log\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda-2}\right) + \mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - \mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right), & 2 < \lambda \end{cases} ,$$

for the contribution of the first sum to the function $g_1(\lambda)$. Thus, $g_1(\lambda)$ is the twice the sum of (4.3) and (4.2). Since

$$\mathrm{Li}_2'(z) = -\frac{\log(1-z)}{z},$$

it is now straightforward to calculate $g_1'(\lambda)$ and verify that it is differentiable everywhere, that is, the following integral representation is valid:

$$g_1(\lambda) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} -g_1'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\frac{dx}{x^2},$$

where

$$-g_1'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\begin{cases} x + \log\frac{|1-x|^{2(1-x)^2}}{|1-2x|^{\frac{1}{2}(1-2x)^2}}, & 0 < x < 1 \\ 1, & 1 < x \end{cases}.$$

5. The Joint Distribution from k-Dimensional Spacing

We now focus on generalizing Theorem 1.1, but not by introducing a k-tuple of α's but instead we consider a k-tuple of λ's as in definition 1.2. In the previous theorem two key properties were used: the fact that the number of gaps of each of the three sizes is a simple function of q_1, and q_2, and the fact that all of the numerators of the Farey fraction canceled out of the final calculations. The exact same phenomenon happens in the general case for the function g_k. An exact statement about the number of times a given sequence of consecutive gap sizes appears is given in the following lemma. Set

$$||I|| = \mathrm{Length}(I \cap [0,1]),$$

and let T be the triangle

$$\{(x,y) : x \leq 1,\ y \leq 1,\ x+y \geq 1\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *For any sequence $\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k$ of the gap sizes A, B, and C, there is a continuous function*

$$f_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k}(x,y) : T \to [0,1]$$

such that for q_1 and q_2 as in Lemma 2.1,

$$\frac{\#\{\Gamma \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \Gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k\}}{Q} = f_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k}\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}\right).$$

That is, the average number of times the sequence $\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k$ appears as a sequence of consecutive gap sizes in $S_Q(\alpha)$ may be interpolated by a continuous function uniformly in Q.

Remark 5.2. *By Remark 2.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(x,y) &= 1-x, \\ f_B(x,y) &= 1-y, \\ f_C(x,y) &= x+y-1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we may write $f_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k}\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)$ as the length of the intersection of k intervals whose endpoints are continuous functions of q_1 and q_2. The general truth of this fact will be evident from the proof of the particular case $\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_k = ABC$, for example. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\#\{\Gamma \in G_{Q,3}(\alpha) : \Gamma = ABC\}}{Q} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q}\#\left\{0 \le i < Q : \begin{array}{c} \{\sigma_{i+1}\alpha\} - \{\sigma_{i+0}\alpha\} = A, \\ \{\sigma_{i+2}\alpha\} - \{\sigma_{i+1}\alpha\} = B, \\ \{\sigma_{i+3}\alpha\} - \{\sigma_{i+2}\alpha\} = C \end{array}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q}\#\left\{0 \le \sigma < Q : \begin{array}{c} \sigma \in [0, Q-q_1), \\ \sigma + q_1 \in [q_2, Q), \\ \sigma + q_1 - q_2 \in [Q-q_1, q_2) \end{array}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q}||[0,Q-q_1) \cap [q_2-q_1, Q-q_1) \cap [Q+q_2-2q_1, 2q_1-q_1)||. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we then have

$$f_{ABC}(x,y) = ||[0,1-x) \cap [y-x, 1-x) \cap [1+y-2x, 2x-y)||,$$

which is clearly a continuous function. □

Theorem 5.3. *For any integer k, the limit*

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k) &= \lim_{Q\to\infty} g_k^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q) \\ &= \lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{1}{\eta}\int_\beta^{\beta+\eta} \frac{\#\{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_k \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \forall_{i\le k}\gamma_i \ge \frac{\lambda_i}{Q}\}}{Q} d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

exists and is independent of the interval $[\beta, \beta+\eta]$ on which the average is taken. A formula for $g_k^{\beta,\eta} := g_k^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q)$ and an error estimate is given by:

$$\left| g_k^{\beta,\eta} - \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \{A,B,C\}^k} \iint\limits_T f_{g_1 \cdots g_k}(x,y) ||\chi_{g_1}(\lambda_1) \cap \cdots \cap \chi_{g_k}(\lambda_k)|| \frac{dxdy}{xy} \right|$$
$$\ll_{k,\epsilon} (1+\lambda_1)\cdots(1+\lambda_k)\frac{Q^{\epsilon-1/2}}{\eta}$$

where

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_A(x,y,\lambda) = [\lambda y, \infty)$$
$$\chi_B(\lambda) = \chi_B(x,y,\lambda) = (-\infty, 1-\lambda x]$$
$$\chi_C(\lambda) = \chi_C(x,y,\lambda) = \begin{cases} [\frac{y(\lambda x-1)}{x-y}, \infty) & y < x \\ (-\infty, 1-\frac{x(\lambda y-1)}{y-x}] & x < y \end{cases},$$

and $f_{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_k}(x,y)$ is defined in Lemma 5.1. The function $g_k(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)$ also satisfies

$$g_k(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1},\lambda_k) = g_k(\lambda_k,\lambda_{k-1},\ldots,\lambda_2,\lambda_1).$$

Proof. We first divide the integral defining g_k along the Farey fractions in $[\beta,\beta+\eta]$ with denominator strictly less than Q:

$$g_k^{\beta,\eta} = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{\beta \le \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \le \beta+\eta} \frac{1}{Q} \int\limits_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}} \#\{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \forall_{i \le k} \gamma_i \ge \frac{\lambda_i}{Q}\} d\alpha$$

We next divide up the gap sequences among all of the 3^k possibilities:

$$= \sum_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \{A,B,C\}^k} \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{\beta \le \frac{a_1}{q_1} < \frac{a_2}{q_2} \le \beta+\eta} \int\limits_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}} \frac{\#\{\Gamma \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \Gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k\}}{Q}$$
$$\times \begin{cases} 1, & \forall_{i \le k}\ \gamma_i \ge \frac{\lambda_i}{Q} \\ 0, & \exists_{i \le k}\ \gamma_i < \frac{\lambda_i}{Q} \end{cases} d\alpha$$

The conditions that an A, B, or C gap is bigger than $\frac{\lambda}{Q}$ are by Lemma 2.1:

$$q_1\alpha - a_1 \ge \frac{\lambda}{Q}, \quad \text{(for an A gap)}$$
$$a_2 - q_2\alpha \ge \frac{\lambda}{Q}, \quad \text{(for a B gap)}$$
$$q_1\alpha - a_1 + a_2 - q_2\alpha \ge \frac{\lambda}{Q}. \quad \text{(for a C gap)}$$

Under the change of variable

$$\alpha = \frac{a_1}{q_1} + \frac{t}{q_1 q_2}$$

these conditions become

$$t \geq \lambda \frac{q_2}{Q}, \quad \text{(for an A gap)}$$

$$t \leq 1 - \lambda \frac{q_1}{Q}, \quad \text{(for a B gap)}$$

$$(q_1 - q_2)\, t \geq \frac{\lambda q_1 q_2}{Q} - q_2, \quad \text{(for a C gap)}$$

respectively. Thus, we have

$$\int_{\frac{a_1}{q_1}}^{\frac{a_2}{q_2}} \frac{\#\{\Gamma \in G_{Q,k}(\alpha) : \Gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k\}}{Q} \begin{cases} 1, & \forall_{i \leq k}\ \gamma_i \geq \frac{\lambda_i}{Q} \\ 0, & \exists_{i \leq k}\ \gamma_i < \frac{\lambda_i}{Q} \end{cases} d\alpha$$

$$= f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}\right) ||\chi_{\gamma_1}\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}, \lambda_1\right) \cap \cdots \cap \chi_{\gamma_k}\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}, \lambda_k\right)|| \frac{1}{q_1 q_2}$$

So, finally,

$$g_k^{\beta,\eta}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k; Q) = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_T f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}\right) \frac{1}{Q^2},$$

where

$$f(x,y) = f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}(x,y) \frac{||\chi_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\lambda_1) \cap \cdots \cap \chi_{\gamma_k}(x,y,\lambda_k)||}{xy}.$$

In order to apply Lemma 3.1, we need bounds for f and its partial derivatives. Since f is unbounded and its partials are unbounded on T, the domain must be shrunk to one of the form

$$T_\delta = \{(x,y) : x \leq 1,\ y \leq 1,\ x + y \geq 1 + \delta, |x - y| > \delta\},$$

and set $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to be the supremum norm on T_δ. Now, the functions $f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}$ are relatively tame; they satisfy

$$\|f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}\|_\infty \leq 1$$

$$\left\|\frac{\partial f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}}{\partial x}\right\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{\partial f_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}}{\partial y}\right\|_\infty \leq 2k$$

Hence we focus on the functions

$$F_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}(x,y) = \frac{||\chi_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\lambda_1) \cap \cdots \cap \chi_{\gamma_k}(x,y,\lambda_k)||}{xy}.$$

Since $0 \leq F_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{xy}$,

$$\|F_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Next, the fact that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial F_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial F_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k}}{\partial y} \right\|_\infty \ll_k \frac{\Lambda}{\delta^2},$$

where $\Lambda = (1+\lambda_1)\cdots(1+\lambda_k)$, follows from the following table:

$h(x,y)$	bound for $\|h\|_\infty$	bound for $\left\|\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right\|_\infty$
$\|\|\chi_A(x,y,\lambda)\|\|$	1	λ
$\|\|\chi_B(x,y,\lambda)\|\|$	1	λ
$\|\|\chi_C(x,y,\lambda)\|\|$	1	$\begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < 1 \\ 2\lambda\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda\delta}\right), & 1 < \lambda < 2 \\ 2\lambda\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda+\lambda\delta-2}\right), & 2 < \lambda \end{cases}$
$\frac{1}{xy}$	$\frac{1}{\delta}$	$\frac{2}{\delta^2}$

In summary, we have

$$\|f\|_\infty \ll_k \frac{1}{\delta},$$
$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\|_\infty \ll_k \frac{\Lambda}{\delta^2}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 with $m_f = O_k(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{T_\delta} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q}, \frac{q_2}{Q}\right) \frac{1}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \iint\limits_{T_\delta} f(x,y)\,dxdy \right| \\ &\ll_{k,\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda \log Q}{\eta \delta^2 Q} + \frac{\log Q}{\eta \delta Q} + \frac{Q^\epsilon}{\eta \delta Q^{1/2}} \\ &\ll_{k,\epsilon} \frac{Q^{\epsilon-1}}{\eta} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\delta^2} + Q^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{T} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)\frac{1}{Q^2}-\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\iint\limits_{T} f(x,y)dxdy\right| \\
&\leq \left|\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{T_\delta} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)\frac{1}{Q^2}-\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\iint\limits_{T_\delta} f(x,y)dxdy\right| \\
&+\frac{1}{\eta}\left|\sum_{T\setminus T_\delta} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)\frac{1}{Q^2}\right|+\left|\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\iint\limits_{T\setminus T_\delta} f(x,y)dxdy\right| \\
&\ll_{k,\epsilon} \frac{Q^{\epsilon-1}}{\eta}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\delta^2}+Q^{1/2}\right)+\frac{\delta}{\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This error term is optimized with the choice $\delta = Q^{-\epsilon}$, leading to

$$\left|\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{T} f\left(\frac{q_1}{Q},\frac{q_2}{Q}\right)\frac{1}{Q^2}-\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\iint\limits_{T} f(x,y)dxdy\right| \ll_{k,\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda Q^{\epsilon-1/2}}{\eta}.$$

The symmetry of the function g_k can be obtained from the symmetry of the function $g_k^{0,1}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_k(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q) &= \lim_{Q\to\infty} g_k^{0,1}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q) \\
&= \lim_{Q\to\infty} g_k^{0,1}(\lambda_k,\ldots,\lambda_1;Q) \\
&= g_k(\lambda_k,\ldots,\lambda_1;Q).
\end{aligned}$$

The function $g_k^{0,1}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;Q)$ has this symmetry property because the sequence of gaps in $S_Q(1-\alpha)$ is the reverse of the sequence of gaps in $S_Q(\alpha)$ with the A and B gaps switched. □

6. Explicit Formula for $g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$

Theorem 5.3 gives a formula for $g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ as an integral over the region T. By dividing up $[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$ into the 14 regions shown in Figure 4, we calculate $g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ on each of the regions A, B, C, D, E, F, and G. The resulting expressions are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *The explicit formula for $g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ on each of the regions A, B, C, D, E, F, and G in Figure 4 are as follows. The value of $g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ on A', B', C', D', E', F', and G' may be found using the symmetry property*

$$g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = g_2(\lambda_2,\lambda_1)$$



FIGURE 4. regions on which $g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is C^∞ smooth

given in Theorem 5.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi^2}{6} g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_A &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \\
\frac{\pi^2}{6} g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_B &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 2 + \lambda_1 + \frac{3\lambda_2}{2} - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(\lambda_1) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\lambda_2) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) \log(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \lambda_1\right) \log\left(\frac{1-\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \log\left(\frac{1-\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right) \left(-\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + 2\log(\lambda_2)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi^2}{6}g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)|_C = {} & \frac{3\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 1 + \log^2(2) + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_1} - 2\lambda_1\right)\log(\lambda_1 - 1) \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(2-\lambda_1) + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{2}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(\lambda_1) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(\lambda_1+\lambda_2) \\ & + \left(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2) \\ & + \log^2(\lambda_1) + (\lambda_2 - 2\log(2\lambda_2))\log(\lambda_1) \\ & + (2\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2) - 2\log(1-\lambda_2))\log(\lambda_2) \\ & + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(\lambda_2) \\ & + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_2-1)}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi^2}{6}g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)|_D = {} & -\frac{5\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \lambda_2 + \left(-\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\frac{2}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(2) \\ & + \left(\frac{3}{\lambda_1} - 3\lambda_1\right)\log(\lambda_1-1) + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} - 2\log(2)\right)\log(\lambda_2) \\ & + \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{4}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(2-\lambda_1) + 2\left(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2) \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \lambda_2 - \frac{2}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(\lambda_1) + \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(1-\lambda_2) \\ & + \log^2(\lambda_1) - 2\log(-\lambda_1+\lambda_2+2)\log(\lambda_1) \\ & + 2\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\log(\lambda_2) + 2\log(2)\log(2-\lambda_1+\lambda_2) \\ & + 4\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\lambda_2) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(\lambda_2) \\ & - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1(2-\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right) \\ & + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{2-\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2-\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{2}\right) \\ & - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi^2}{6} g_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|_E = {} & \frac{1}{6}\left(-3\lambda_1 - 2\pi^2 + 6\right) + \left(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(2) - \log^2(2) \\
& + \log^2(\lambda_1) + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{2}{\lambda_1} + 2\log(2)\right)\log(2\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \lambda_1\right)\log(\lambda_1 - 1) + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(2 - \lambda_1) \\
& + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(\lambda_1) + \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(\lambda_2 - 1) \\
& + 2\log(2)\left(\log(2 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \log(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1)\right) \\
& + \log(\lambda_2)\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1} - 2\log(2)\right) \\
& + \left(2\log(\lambda_2) + 2\log(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1)\right)\log(\lambda_1) \\
& - \left(2\log(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2) + 2\log(2\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\right)\log(\lambda_1) \\
& + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2}{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 1)}\right) \\
& + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 1)}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 1}{\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2}\right) \\
& - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 2)}\right) + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 1)\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2}\right) \\
& + 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2}\right) - 2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi^2}{6}g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)|_F &= \lambda_2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} - 1 + \log^2(2) + 2\log(2)\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1)\\ &+\left(\frac{2}{\lambda_1}-2\lambda_1\right)\log(\lambda_1-1)+\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}-\frac{2}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(\lambda_1-2)\\ &+2\left(\lambda_1-\lambda_2+\frac{1}{\lambda_2}-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)+\left(\lambda_2-\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right)\log(\lambda_1)\\ &+\log(\lambda_1-2\lambda_2)\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}+\lambda_2-\frac{1}{\lambda_2}+\frac{2}{\lambda_1}-2\log(2)\right)\\ &+2\log(\lambda_1)\left(\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)-\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1)-\log(\lambda_2)\right)\\ &+\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\log(\lambda_2)+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-\lambda_2)\\ &+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1-2\lambda_2}{2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1)}\right)-2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1-2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1)}\right)\\ &+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1-2\lambda_2}\right)+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2(\lambda_2)-2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1)\lambda_2}{\lambda_1-2\lambda_2}\right)\\ &+2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right)-2\,\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6}g_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)|_G = 0.$$

References

[1] V. Augustin, F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, and A. Zaharescu, *The h-spacing distribution between Farey points*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 131 (2001), pp. 23–38.

[2] G. I. Arhipov, and A. A. Karacuba, *Čubarikov, V. N. Distribution of fractional parts of polynomials of several variables*, (Russian) Mat. Zametki 25 (1979), no. 1, 3–14, 157.

[3] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, *Small fractional parts of polynomials*, Topics in classical number theory, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981), 69–110, Colloq. Math. Soc. Jnos Bolyai, 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[4] P. M. Bleher. *The energy level spacing for two harmonic oscillators with generic ratio of frequencies.* J. Statist. Phys. 63 (1991), 261–283.

[5] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, and A. Zaharescu, *A conjecture of R. R. Hall on Farey points*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 535 (2001), 207–236

[6] F. Boca and A. Zaharescu, *Pair correlation of values of rational functions (mod p)*, Duke Math. J. 105 (2000), 267–307.

[7] C. Cobeli, G. Groza, M. Vâjâitu, and A. Zaharescu, *Generalization of a theorem of Steinhaus*, Colloq. Math. 92 (2002), no. 2, 257–266.

[8] M. J. de Velasco, *Distribution of the fractional parts of polynomials*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 90 (1998), no. 6, 459–464.

[9] A. Dubickas, *On the fractional parts of rational powers*, Int. J. Number Theory 5 (2009), no. 6, 1037–1048.
[10] A. Dubickas, *On the limit points of the fractional parts of powers of Pisot numbers*, Arch. Math. (Brno) 42 (2006), no. 2, 151–158.
[11] C. Greenman, *The generic spacing distribution of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator*, J. Phys. A 29 (1996), 4065–4081.
[12] G. Groza, M. Vâjâitu, A. Zaharescu, *Primitive arcs on elliptic curves*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **50** (2005), no. 1, 31–38.
[13] R. R. Hall, *A note on Farey series*, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), pp. 139–148,
[14] R. R. Hall, *On consecutive Farey arcs II*, Acta Arithm. 66 (1994), pp. 1–9,
[15] R. R. Hall and G. Tenenbaum, *On consecutive Farey arcs*, Acta Arithm. 44 (1984), pp. 397405,
[16] G. H. Hardy G. H. and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Fifth edition, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1979, New York,
[17] A. A. Karacuba, *Distribution of fractional parts of polynomials of a special type*, (Russian) Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh. 1962 1962 no. 3, 34–39.
[18] Ě. I. Kovalevskaja, *The simultaneous distribution of the fractional parts of polynomials*, (Russian) VescĭAkad. Navuk BSSR Ser. Fĭz.-Mat. Navuk 1971, no. 5, 13–23.
[19] W. L. LeVeque, *Fundamentals of number theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1977.
[20] A. E. Mazel and Ya. G. Sinai. *A limiting distribution connected with fractional parts of linear forms.* Ideas and Methods in Mathematical Analysis, Stochastics and Applications, Vol. 1. Eds. S. Albeverio et al. 1992, pp. 220–229.
[21] G. Misevičius and S. Vakrinienė, *On uniform distribution on* $[0, 1]$ *of fractional parts of powers of real algebraic numbers*, Šiauliai Math. Semin. 4(12) (2009), 145–149.
[22] N. G. Moshchevitin, *On small fractional parts of polynomials*, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), no. 2, 349–357.
[23] K. O'Bryant, *Sturmian words and the permutation that orders fractional parts*, J. Algebraic Combin. 19 (2004), no. 1, 91–115.
[24] F. Pillichshammer, *Euler's constant and averages of fractional parts*, Amer. Math. Monthly 117 (2010), no. 1, 78–83
[25] T. van Ravenstein, *The three gap theorem (Steinhaus conjecture)*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 45 (1988), 360–370.
[26] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, *The pair correlation function of fractional parts of polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys. 194 (1998), 61–70
[27] Z. Rudnick and A. Zaharescu, *The distribution of spacings between fractional parts of lacunary sequences*, Forum Math. 14 (2002), no. 5, 691–712.
[28] Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, and A. Zaharescu, *The distribution of spacings between the fractional parts of* $n^2\alpha$, Invent. Math. 145 (2001), no. 1, 37–57.
[29] W. M. Schmidt, *Small fractional parts of polynomials*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. v+41 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-1682-9
[30] V. T. Sós, *On the distribution mod* 1 *of the sequence* $n\alpha$, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math. 1 (1958), 127–134.
[31] S. Świerczkowski, *On successive settings of an arc on the circumference of a circle*, Fund. Math. 46 (1958), 187–189
[32] T. D. Wooley, *The application of a new mean value theorem to the fractional parts of polynomials*, Acta Arith. 65 (1993), no. 2, 163–179.

[33] T. Zaimi, *On integer and fractional parts of powers of Salem numbers*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 87 (2006), no. 2, 124–128.
[34] A. Zaharescu, *Correlation of fractional parts of* $n^2\alpha$, Forum Math. 15 (2003), no. 1, 1–21.

School of Natural Science, Hampshire College, 893 West Street, Amherst, MA 01002, USA
E-mail address: gpeNS@hampshire.edu

Penn State University Mathematics Dept. University Park, State College, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: dps23@math.psu.edu

Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Research Unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania, and Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA E-mail address: zaharesc@illinois.edu
E-mail address: zaharesc@illinois.edu